# ORDER SHEET West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

Я

The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

#### Case No. MA-235 of 2019 (RA 10 of 2019)

	Case 110. MIA-233 01 2019 [KA 10 01 2019	1	
	retary to the Government Confederation of Employees, West Ber	Confederation of State Government Employees, West Bengal & Ors.	
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.	
02 09/12/2019	For the Review Petitioner: Mr. K. Dutta, Learned Advocate General Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Mr. B.P. Roy, Mr. Sidhartha Ghosh, Learned Advocates.  For the Respondent No. 1 & 3 Sardar Amjad Ali, Learned Senior Advocate.		
	Masum Ali Sardar, Learned Advocate.  For the Respondent No. 2: Mr. S. Dasgupta, Mr. B. Banerjee, Learned Advocates.		
	Liberty is given to the advocate on record for the applicants of the Miscellaneous Application to amend the cause-title of Miscellaneous Application for impleading Swapan Kumar Dey as respondent no. 2A in the Miscellaneous Application in course of this day. Since notice and copy of the Miscellaneous Application have already been served on the said added respondent, the further service of notice is dispensed with.		
	The applicants have prayed for condonation of delay of 67 days in filing Review Application being RA-		

10/2019, whereby the applicants have prayed for

#### **ORDER SHEET** – (Continuation)

Form No.

The Chief Secretary to the Government of West Bengal & Ors.....

Vs

Confederation of State Government Employees, West Bengal & Ors.

Casa Na MA O	Employees, West Bengal & Ors.			
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary		
	review of the Judgment and order passed by this			
	Tribunal on July 26, 2019 in OA No. 1154/2016.			
	The contents of the Miscellaneous Application			
	disclose that the applicants applied for certified copy			
	of the Judgment and order passed in OA-1154/2016			
	on August 20, 2019 and the certified copy was			
	obtained on August 21, 2019. The contention of the			
	applicants is that the Judgment and order passed by			
	the Tribunal in the original application is of grave			
	importance and the same would cause heavy burden			
	on the State Exchequer and thereby the Judgment			
	was placed for consideration at various levels of the			
	State Government. It is further contended on behalf of			
	the applicants that on September 02, 2019 legal			
	opinion was sought for with regard to the Judgment			
	delivered in the original application. The applicants			
	again had consultations at various levels before			
	drafting of the review application which was ultimately			
	filed before this Tribunal on October 31, 2019.			
	With the above factual matrix disclosed in the			
	Miscellaneous Application, Mr. Kishore Dutta,			
	Learned Advocate General contends that the Tribunal			

should take liberal view of the provisions of Section 5

of the Limitation Act in order to condone the delay in

## $\label{eq:order} \textbf{ORDER SHEET} - (\textit{Continuation})$

Form No.

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of West Bengal &
Ors.

Vs

Confederation of State Government Employees, West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. MA-235 of 2019 (RA 10 of 2019)					
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date			
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of parties when necessary.			
1	2	parties when necessary.			
	filing the review application, as the Judgment will not				
	only have ramifications on the employees of the State				
	Government, but will also cast heavy burden on the				
	State Exchequer. On the other hand, Sardar Amjad				
	Ali, Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the				
	respondents no. 1 & 3 has urged this Bench to				
	consider that the issue of burden on the State				
	Exchequer has already been considered by this Bench				
	in the Judgment delivered in the original application.				
	He further contends that no objective criteria have				
	been put forward for consideration of the sufficient				
	cause for condonation of delay in the Miscellaneous				
	Application filed by the applicants. He also submits				
	that no explanation was given by the applicants as to				
	why they waited for about 24 days in submitting				
	application to obtain the certified copy of the				
	Judgment and order passed by this Tribunal in the				
	original application. The gist of submission of Mr. Ali				
	is that the delay has not been explained on day to day				
	basis and as such the delay should not be condoned				
	by this Tribunal. We have also heard Learned Counsel				
	representing the other respondents who have				
	reiterated the points urged by Mr. Ali for rejection of				
	the prayer of the applicants.				
	Howing board I comed Comed to the state of t				
	Having heard Learned Counsel representing both				

### $\label{eq:order} \textbf{ORDER SHEET} - (Continuation)$

Form No.

The Chief Secretary to the Government of West Bengal & .....Ors.....

Vs

Confederation of State Government Employees, West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. MA-235 of 2019 (RA 10 of 2019)					
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature		Office action with date and dated signature of		
dute of order	with signature		parties when necessary.		
1	2		3		
	the parties and on consideration of the con	tents of the			
	Miscellaneous Application, we would like	to take a			
	liberal view of the provisions of Section	n 5 of the			
	Limitation Act in order to condone the de	lay in filing			
	the Review Application by the applica	ents. Since			
	valuable right has already been accrued i	n favour of			
	the applicants for non-implementatio	n of the			
	Judgment and order passed by the Tribi	anal in the			
	original application resulting in pendency	of two (02)			
	separate contempt applications, we are	inclined to			
	hear the review application before dealin	ng with the			
	contempt applications filed by the applications	ants of the			
	original application. The above observation	s lead us to			
	hold that the delay in filing the review app	lication has			
	been explained to our satisfaction and a	s such the			
	Miscellaneous Application is allowed. Th	ne delay in			
	filing the review application is, thus, con	doned. The			
	Miscellaneous Application stands <b>disposed</b>	of.			
	Let a <b>Plain Copy</b> of the order be sup	oplied to all			
	the parties.				
	S. K. DAS R. K.				
Csm	MEMBER(A) MEMB	ER(J)			